Unconventional shale-gas systems: The Mississippian Barnett Shale of north-central Texas as one model for thermogenic shale-gas assessment

Daniel M. Jarvie, Ronald J. Hill, Tim E. Ruble, and Richard M. Pollastro

ABSTRACT

Shale-gas resource plays can be distinguished by gas type and system characteristics. The Newark East gas field, located in the Fort Worth Basin, Texas, is defined by thermogenic gas production from low-porosity and low-permeability Barnett Shale. The Barnett Shale gas system, a self-contained source-reservoir system, has generated large amounts of gas in the key productive areas because of various characteristics and processes, including (1) excellent original organic richness and generation potential; (2) primary and secondary cracking of kerogen and retained oil, respectively; (3) retention of oil for cracking to gas by adsorption; (4) porosity resulting from organic matter decomposition; and (5) brittle mineralogical composition.

The calculated total gas in place (GIP) based on estimated ultimate recovery that is based on production profiles and operator estimates is about 204 bcf/section ($5.78 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/1.73 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^3$). We estimate that the Barnett Shale has a total generation potential of about 609 bbl of oil equivalent/ac-ft or the equivalent of 3657 mcf/ ac-ft (84.0 m³/m³). Assuming a thickness of 350 ft (107 m) and only sufficient hydrogen for partial cracking of retained oil to gas, a total generation potential of 820 bcf/section is estimated. Of this potential, approximately 60% was expelled, and the balance was retained for secondary cracking of oil to gas, if sufficient thermal maturity was reached. Gas storage capacity of the Barnett Shale at typical reservoir pressure, volume, and temperature conditions and 6% porosity shows a maximum storage capacity of 540 mcf/ac-ft or 159 scf/ton.

475

AUTHORS

DANIEL M. JARVIE \sim Humble Geochemical Services, Division of Humble Instruments and Services Inc., P.O. Box 789, Humble, Texas 77347; danjarvie@humble-inc.com

Dan Jarvie is an analytical and interpretive organic geochemist. He has worked on conventional hydrocarbon systems and unconventional shale-oil and shale-gas hydrocarbon systems, including the Barnett Shale since 1989. He earned a B.S. degree from the University of Notre Dame and was mentored in geochemistry by Wallace Dow and Don Baker of Rice University. He is president of Humble Geochemical Services.

RONALD J. HILL \sim Central Energy Resources Team, U.S. Geological Survey, Box 25046, MS 939, Denver, Colorado 80225; ronhill@usqs.gov

Ronald Hill specializes in petroleum geochemistry and has more than 12 years of professional experience, including those with Exxon-Mobil and Chevron. Currently, he is a research geologist for the U.S. Geological Survey. His interests include investigation of shale-gas resources and the processes that control petroleum generation. He holds geology degrees from Michigan State University (B.S. degree) and the University of California, Los Angeles (Ph.D.), and a geochemistry degree from the Colorado School of Mines (M.S. degree).

TIM E. RUBLE \sim Humble Geochemical Services, 218 Higgins Street, Humble, Texas 77338; truble@humble-inc.com

Tim Ruble is a petroleum geochemist with Humble Geochemical Services and is currently involved in studies focused on the assessment of shale-gas resources. He has had a diverse professional career that has included periods with the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization in Australia, Mobil Oil, and the U.S. Geological Survey. He has published on a variety of geochemical topics, including lacustrine petroleum systems, oilbearing fluid inclusions, native bitumens, biomarker analyses, and hydrocarbon generation kinetics. Tim earned his B.S. degree in chemistry from Truman State University and his M.S. degree and his Ph.D. in geology from the University of Oklahoma.

Copyright ©2007. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists. All rights reserved. Manuscript received June 17, 2006; provisional acceptance August 24, 2006; revised manuscript received November 28, 2006; final acceptance December 19, 2006.

DOI:10.1306/12190606068

RICHARD M. POLLASTRO ~ Central Energy Resources Team, U.S. Geological Survey, P.O. Box 25045, MS 939, Denver, Colorado 80225; Pollastro@usgs.gov

Richard Pollastro received an M.A. degree in geological science from the State University of New York at Buffalo in 1977. He joined the U.S. Geological Survey in 1978 and has served as a province geologist on the national and world energy assessment projects. His recent accomplishments include petroleum system analysis and resource assessment of the Bend arch – Fort Worth Basin, with particular focus on Barnett Shale, South Florida Basin, and the Arabian Peninsula.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank AAPG reviewers, including Barry Katz, Ken Peters, and Jerry Sweeney, as well as reviewers from the U.S. Geological Survey, including Chris Schenk and Steve Roberts. All reviewers provided detailed criticisms and suggestions that substantially improved the submitted manuscript. For this, we are most grateful.

A point of concern for reviewers was the use of personal communications, unpublished data and presentations, and abstracts. Their concerns are well founded because such materials are not readily available to all readers of AAPG Bulletin and certainly have not been subjected to rigorous peer review. We share those concerns but did use those materials because of the dearth of publications on the Barnett Shale. These references may be subjective and may rely on personal opinions, antidotal observations, or strictly empirical data. Care should be exercised in extending information from these references until they are verifiable by rigorous study and peer-reviewed publication. In addition, we thank the Oil Information Library of Fort Worth and its curator, Roy English, and the Bureau of Economic Geology, especially Steve Ruppel and colleagues in Austin, as well as James Donnally and Randy McDonald, for their assistance in providing information and access to samples.

We also thank the AAPG editors and staff, especially Carol Christopher and Frances Whitehurst, for their editorial assistance and patience. Further thanks go to George Mitchell, Mitchell Energy and employees, Devon Energy, and others who have made this play a success.

INTRODUCTION

Unconventional shale gas has evolved into an important resource play for the United States, accounting for more than 14% of produced gas in the United States by the end of 2004 (Energy Information Administration [EIA], 2004). These are typically source rocks that also function as reservoir rocks and are a class of continuous petroleum accumulations (Schmoker, 1995). An unconventional, continuous petroleum system consists of an accumulation of hydrocarbons that are found in low-matrix-permeability rocks that depend on fracture permeability (either natural or as a result of stimulation) for production and contain large amounts of hydrocarbons, but with low gas recovery factors (Schmoker, 1995).

Shale-gas systems are of two distinct types: biogenic and thermogenic (Claypool, 1998), although there can also be mixtures of the two gas types. Biogenic shale-gas plays, such as the Antrim Shale of the Michigan Basin (Martini et al., 2003), contain dry gas adsorbed to organic matter. After dewatering, these wells will have modest initial gas flow rates in the 50-400 mcf/day (1416–11,327 m³/day) range, but with production histories upward of 30 yr.

Several types of continuous, thermogenic shale-gas systems exist, including (1) high-thermal-maturity shales (e.g., Barnett Shale of the Fort Worth Basin); (2) low-thermal-maturity shales (e.g., some New Albany Shale in areas of the Illinois Basin); (3) mixed lithology intraformational systems containing shale, sands, and silts (e.g., Bossier Shale of east Texas); (4) interformational systems where gas is generated in a mature shale and stored in a less mature shale (e.g., Tertiary Waltman Shale Member of the Wind River Basin, Wyoming); and (5) combination plays that have both conventional and unconventional production (e.g., some vertical Anadarko Basin wells producing from Wapanucka and Hunton reservoirs, as well as the Woodford Shale). There may also be mixed systems containing both thermogenic and biogenic gas (e.g., possibly some New Albany Shale gas systems) (Jarvie et al., in press).

The Barnett Shale of the Fort Worth Basin, Texas, has evolved into the preeminent shale-gas resource play because of the long-term development efforts of Mitchell Energy Corporation (now part of Devon Energy) and subsequent operators in the basin (Givens and Zhao, 2004; Steward et al., 2006; Steward, in press). The estimated ultimate recovery (EUR) from this low-porosity and low-permeability black shale is 2.5-3.5 bcf $(7.08-9.91 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3)$ of gas from horizontal wells drilled in the core producing areas (counties with the highest production to date) (Mortis, 2004). The Barnett Shale is found at depths of generally 6500-8500 ft (1981-2591 m), with average thickness of about 350 ft (106.7 m) in the core areas, although values range from 50 or less to more than 1000 ft (15.2 or less to more than 304.8 m) across the basin (Pollastro, 2003; Pollastro et al., 2003; Montgomery et al., 2005). Although these shale-gas wells have modest gas flow rates compared to conventional plays, they also have modest drilling costs, so the rate of return (ROR) is commonly 100% within 1 yr in the core area and 65% in noncore areas

(areas where production is not well established; EOG Resources Inc., 2006).

Gas storage in the Barnett Shale is primarily as free gas with lesser amounts of adsorbed gas. Gas is derived from both thermogenic cracking of kerogen and from cracking of any retained oil in the shale (Jarvie et al., 2003; Montgomery et al. 2005). Areas where the Barnett Shale is in the oil window (0.60-0.99% R_o) (see appendix for explanation of terms) generally have gas flow rates or EURs that are lower than wells in the core area (Bowker, 2003a) because only oil-associated gas is generated in this maturity window.

Mineralogy appears to be a key factor characterizing the best wells (Bowker, 2003a). The best Barnett Shale production comes from zones with 45% quartz and only 27% clay (Bowker, 2003a). The brittleness of the shale is key to stimulation whereby a fracture network is created, providing linkage between the wellbore and the microporosity (average 6%). Pore throats are typically less than 100 nm in the Barnett Shale (Bowker, 2003a). Although fractures in the Barnett Shale are necessary for good production, macrofractures near major fault zones are less productive because fractures are being filled with carbonate cement and are less responsive to stimulation (Bowker, 2003a). Faults are also conduits for stimulation energy instead of fracturing the indurated shale. Such stimulation generally will not reach much of the microporosity, and the result is poor shale-gas wells.

The Barnett Shale gas system is sealed by limestone above the Barnett Shale and in some areas below the shale. These limestones generally have higher fracture thresholds than the shale itself, thereby providing barriers to stimulation to optimize fracturing of the gasbearing shale (Martineau, 2001). However, Barnett Shale has been shown to be highly productive in areas where the lower stimulation barrier is not present using horizontal wells and rigorous stimulation without breaking into the underlying brine-bearing limestones of the Ellenburger Formation (Marble, 2004, 2006).

Although it is known that the Barnett Shale is organic rich, has high gas contents, and responds to stimulation efforts, it is not evident why it is such a prodigious shale-gas system. The goal of this article is to understand the geochemical criteria that make the Barnett Shale of the Fort Worth Basin a prodigious gas resource given its unconventional low porosity and permeability reservoir characteristics. To evaluate this hydrocarbon resource system, it is important to understand various geochemical processes and shale characteristics controlling generation, storage, and access to this gas resource.

GEOLOGIC SETTING

The Barnett Shale occurs in a 38-county area of the Fort Worth Basin in north-central Texas, with the main producing areas north and south of Fort Worth, Texas (Figure 1). Montgomery et al. (2005) and Pollastro et al. (2007) provide a summary of the geologic evolution of the Fort Worth Basin. The Barnett Shale occurs in other nearby basins, such as the Hardeman, Kerr, Marfa, and Permian basins. Age-equivalent shales, as well as underlying Devonian black shales, are present along the eastern flank of the Ouachita thrust front in the Delaware, Arkoma, and Black Warrior basins and along the Appalachian Mountains, extending into the northeastern parts of the United States.

The Barnett Shale can be divided into five lithofacies: (1) black shale, (2) lime grainstone, (3) calcareous black shale, (4) dolomitic black shale, and (5) phosphatic black shale (Henk et al., 2000; Henk, 2005; Hickey and Henk, 2006; Loucks and Ruppel, 2007). All lithofacies yield high gamma-ray responses (>100° API), with the phosphatic shales having the highest response. Micropores in thin sections show no evidence of connectivity, consistent with the low permeability of the shale. Thin sections also reveal the presence of pyrite, scattered calcite shell fragments, occasional silicified *Tasmanites* (algal fragments), and conodonts. Poorly sorted lime grainstones are indicative of sediment gravity flows.

Figure 2 is a generalized Fort Worth Basin stratigraphic column (Flippin, 1982). The Barnett Shale unconformably overlies limestones of the Ordovician Viola Limestone in eastern parts of the basin. The Viola Formation in this area provides the basal seal and stimulation barrier to prevent Ellenburger Group waters from entering the wellbore during completion, although it is now evident that wells can be completed with high flow rates where the Viola Limestone is missing (Marble, 2004, 2006). The Devonian section is absent in the Fort Worth Basin as is the entire Permian section.

The Barnett Shale is conformably overlain by Pennsylvanian Marble Falls Limestone. In the eastern part of the basin, the upper quarter of the Barnett Shale is separated from the lower Barnett Shale by the Forestburg limestone. Original completions in the Barnett Shale by Mitchell Energy were only made in the lower Barnett Shale, and they later put the upper Barnett Shale under stimulation, adding about 25% to the EUR of their wells (Bowker, 2003a). Minor amounts of Cretaceous rock occur in certain parts of the basin, and Tertiary rocks are absent.



Figure 1. Generalized structure and well and outcrop location map. Well core samples are shown with numbers; other wells where cuttings only were available are shown with solid black circles (modified and with permission from the Fort Worth Oil Information Library).

BACKGROUND: BARNETT SHALE GAS AND OIL SYSTEMS

A petroleum system comprises various components, including source rock, migration pathway, reservoir rock, seal, and overburden (Magoon and Dow, 1994). Processes inherent to such a system include generation, expulsion, accumulation, overburden deposition, and preservation of hydrocarbons (Magoon and Dow, 1994). In addition, petroleum adsorption and its impact on expulsion and secondary cracking of any retained oil (Stainforth and Reinders, 1990; Thomas and Clouse, 1990; Pepper, 1992; Sandvik et al., 1992) are also important processes that are critical to understanding the gas in place (GIP) in the Barnett Shale.

The Barnett Shale has been buried to sufficient depth and/or exposed to hot fluid flow to reach oil- or gas-generation stages in most parts of the Fort Worth Basin. In areas where the Barnett Shale reaches gas-window thermal maturity (>1.0% R_o) (vitrinite reflectance in oil) and especially above 1.4% R_o, there are large continuous commercial gas resources. The Newark East gas field, now the largest gas field in Texas, has estimated mean gas resources of 26.2 tcf (7.4×10^{11} m³) (Pollastro et al., 2003) and has grown from the 87th largest in the early 1990s to the second largest gas field in the United States (EIA, 2006).

SYSTEM STAGE GROUP FORMATION 000000000000000000000000000000000000	GENERALIZED SUBSURFACE STRATIGRAPHIC SECTION									
Magnetic COMANCHE FREDRICKSBURG GOODLAND VIEND COMANCHE TRINITY GLEN ROSE CISCO THRIFTY BRECKENRIDGE CISCO GRAHAM SWASTIKA BRAD SWASTIKA BUNGER CANYON GRAFORD CADDO CREEK CANYON GRAFORD CADAD PLACID SHALE WINCHELL BRAD PLACID SHALE VINCHELL GRAFORD CADADS BRANCH UPPER BROWINWOOD SHALE PLACID SHALE PLACID SHALE WINCHELL CANYON GRAFORD CADAS BRANCH UPPER BROWINWOOD SHALE PLACID SHALE PLACID SHALE WHITT KICKAPOO CREEK CAPPS LIME MORROW MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK ATOKA CHESTER CODONY CADDO II AND III MORROW MORROW MARBLE FALLE CADON POOL MORROW MERAMEC CHESTER COMYN MERAMEC VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VOOLA VIOLA	SYSTEM STAGE				GROUP	FORM	ATION			
ODULY COMANCHE FREDRICKSBURG PALUXY TRINITY GLEN ROSE TRINITY GLEN ROSE CISCO GRAHAM SWASTIKA BRAD GUNSIGHTS CANYON GRAFORD HOME CREEK LIMESTONE CANYON GRAFORD ADMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE WINCHEL CANYON GRAFORD CADAD CREEK STRAWN STRAWN MILLSAP LAKE KICKAPOO CREEK CADDO I RAVILLE MILLSAP LAKE CADDO I RAVILLE MILLSAP LAKE CADDO I RAVILLE KICKAPOO CREEK CADDO I RAVILLE MORROW MORROW MARBLE FALLS MORROW SIMPSON SIMPSON SIMPSON MERAMEC BARNETT SHALE COMYN MERAMEC CANADIAN ELLENBURGER GORMAN OZARKIAN WILERNS SIMPSON SIMPSON	SU					GOOD	LAND			
O TRINITY GLEN ROSE Image: Clsco THRIFTY BRECKENRIDGE Clsco GRAHAM SWASTIKA BRAD GUNSIGHTS GUNSIGHTS CADDO CREEK HOME CREEK IMESTONE COLONY CREEK SHALE CANYON GRAFORD RANGER IMESTONE CANYON GRAFORD RANGER IMESTONE VINON GRAFORD ADMS BRANCH UPPER BROWINGOD SHALE WINCHELL CANYON GRAFORD ADMS BRANCH UPPER BROWINGOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT KEECHI CREEK VINICHELL CAMPS IMME GRAFORD CAMPS IMME MORRIS SANDANSTONE GARNER MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK KICKAPOO CREEK CADDO I MORROW MARRE FAILS MORROW MARROW MORROW MARROW MERAMEC CANDO I I AND III OSAGE CHAPPEL VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA	ACEO	WER			FREDRICKSBURG	PAL	UXY			
NMOVOLUSION BIOLOGICAL THRIFTY BRECKENRIDGE (SCO CISCO GRAHAM GUNSIGHTS GRAHAM SWASTIKA BUNGER BUNGER CADDO CREEK HOME CREEK LIMESTONE CANYON GRAFORD PALOID SHALE BRAD PLACID SHALE BRAD PLACID SHALE WINCHELL CEDAR TOWN ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE VINCHLI CEDAR TOWN ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE VINCHLIE CAPPS LIME WHITT KEECH ICREEK ALESVILLE CAPPS LIME MORROS ANDSTONE GRAINER MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK LONE CAMP MORROS ANDSTONE ATOKA MILLSAP LAKE BIG SALINE CADDO I PARCINANT SHALE CADDO POOL ATOKA BIG SALINE WORROW MARBLE FALLS CHESTER COMYN MERAMEC DANARD OSAGE VIOLA VIOLA <td< td=""><td>CRET/</td><td>ĽŌ</td><td>TRINITY</td><td>GLEN</td><td>ROSE</td></td<>	CRET/	ĽŌ			TRINITY	GLEN	ROSE			
MUNICIPAL CISCO ITHRIFTY KING CISCO GRAHAM GUNSIGHTS GUNSIGHTS SWASTIKA BUNGER BUNGER BUNGER CADDO CREEK HOME CREEK LIMESTONE COLONY CREEK SHALE BRAD PLACID SHALE WINCHELL BRAD PLACID SHALE WINCHELL VIEW GRAFORD ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT KEECH (CREEK ALESVILLE LONE CAMP WHITT KEECH (CREEK ALESVILLE CAPPS LIME MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK LONE CAMP MORROS MORROW MILLSAP LAKE ATOKA CADDO I RAND III PREGNANT SHALE MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MERAMEC BANETT SHALE VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA	\sim	\sim	~~~~~	\sim		BRECKE	INRIDGE			
NUMPOUND INFORMATION CISCO GRAHAM GUNSIGHTS SWASTIKA Image: Strawn of the strain of the					THRIFTY	KIN	NG			
NMONOLOGIE GRAHAM SWASTIKA BUNGER BUNGER BUNGER CADDO CREEK COLONY CREEK SHALE BRAD PLACID SHALE WINCHELL BRAD PLACID SHALE WINCHELL CANYON GRAFORD GRAFORD CEDAR TOWN ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT KECCHI CREEK ALESVILE UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT KECCHI CREEK ALESVILE CAPPS LIME UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT KECCHI CREEK ALESVILE LONE CAMP MORRIS SANDSTONE GARNER MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK LATOKA MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MERAMEC CHESTER OSAGE VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA			CISCO			GUNS	GHTS			
NUMBER BUNGER HOND CADDO CREEK HOME CREEK LINESTONE COLONY CREEK SHALE BRAD PLACID SHALE BRAD PLACID SHALE WINCHELL CEDAR TOWN GRAFORD GRAFORD ADDAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE WHITS KICKAPOO CREEK KICKAPOO CREEK CADDO I RAVILLE PARVIL					GRAHAM	SWAS	STIKA			
NUMPYON CADDO CREEK HOME CREEK LIMESTONE COLONY CREEK SHALE BRAD RANGER LIMESTONE PILCID SHALE BRAD PILCID SHALE WINCHELL CEDAR TOWN ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE WHITT KECHI CREEK ALESVILLE STRAWN STRAWN STRAWN STRAWN MILLSAP LAKE CADDO I LONE CAMP MILLSAP LAKE CADDO I CANDO II AND IIIS ATOKA MORROW MILLSAP LAKE MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MERAMEC CADDO II AND IIIS COMYN OSAGE CHESTER VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA OZARKIAN BILENBURGER OZARKIAN RILLENBURGER OZARKIAN RILLENBURGER OZARKIAN RILLENBURGER OZARKIAN RILENBURGER						BUN	GER			
MUNYONANU CANDO CKLEIX COLONY CREEK SHALE BRAD RANGER LINESTONE PLACID SHALE PLACID SHALE BRAD UNCHELL CEDAR TOWN CEDAR TOWN ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT KECCHI CREEK ALESVILLE WHITT KECCHI CREEK ALESVILLE WHITT KECCHI CREEK ALESVILLE STRAWN WILLSAP LAKE CAPPS LIME MORRIS SANDSTONE GARNER MORRIS SANDSTONE GARNER STRAWN STRAWN WILLSAP LAKE LAZY BEND MILLSAP LAKE CADDO I RAVILLE PARKS CADDO OOL CADDO OL MORROW MORROW MARBLE FALLS SMITHWICK MARBLE FALLS MERAMEC DIG SALINE COMYN SMITHWICK MARBLE FALLS MERAMEC VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA OCEMAN VOODOODO OZARKIAN ELLENBURGER GRIMAN TANYARD VIDLA VIOLA WILLERY HORRY TANYARD						HOME CREEK	LIMESTONE			
NUMPOUSING BRAD RANGER LINESTONE VINCHELL CANYON GRAFORD PLACID SHALE VINCHELL CEDAR TOWN ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT REECHI CREEK ALESVILLE ALESVILLE WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE CAPPS LIME MORRIS SANDSTONE GARNER STRAWN STRAWN STRAWN STRAWN VILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK LONE CAMP MORRIS SANDSTONE MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK LAZY BEND KICKAPOO CREEK CADDO I ATOKA BIG SALINE CADDO POOL MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW SIMPSON MILLENBURGER CANADIAN ELLENBURGER TANYARD VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>COLONY CR</td> <td>EEK SHALE</td>						COLONY CR	EEK SHALE			
NOLD PLACE SHALE VINCHUL WINCHELL CANYON GRAFORD BIG SCORE WINCHELL CANYON GRAFORD ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT RECOMP WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE UPPER STRAWN		PER			BRAD	RANGER L	MESTONE			
MUNDARY CANYON GRAFORD WINCHELL CEDAR TOWN ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO KEECHI CREEK PALO PINTO KEECHI CREEK PALO PINTO KEECHI CREEK Jung STRAWN STRAWN MILLSAP LAKE CAPPS LIME MORRIS SANDSTONE GRANDETONE GRANDER STRAWN STRAWN STRAWN STRAWN STRAWN STRAWN MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK LAZY BEND MARKS CADDO I RAVILLE PRECNANT SHALE SMITHWICK MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW SMITHWICK MARBLE FALLS SMITHWICK MARBLE FALLS VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA SMITHWICK MARBLE TANNARD OZARKIAN ELLENBURGER GORMAN SIMPSON SIMPSON VIOLON UPPER RILLSY HICKORY RILLEY HICKORY		Ъ				PLACID	SHALE			
VINCE CANYON GRAFORD CEDAR TOWN ADAMS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO PALO PINTO WHITT RECONSTRUCTIONE WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT KEECHI CREEK ALESVILLE UPPER MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK LAZY BEND KICKAPOO CREEK CADDO I PRECINANT SHALE CADDO I MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW MARBLE FALLS CANADIAN CHESTER OSAGE CHAPPEL VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIDLA VIOLA VIDLA WINNEYCUT OZARKIAN RILEY HICKORY RILEY						WINC	HELL			
MUNDADE STRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE PALO PINTO WHITT WHITT VICINE CAMP CAMPAS BRANCH UPPER BROWNWOOD SHALE WHITT VICINE CAMP CAMPS LIME MILLSAP LAKE CADDO I RAVILLE VICINE CAMP MILLSAP LAKE CADDO I RAVILLE VICINE CAMP MORROW MORROW <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>CANYON</td><td></td><td>GRAFORD</td><td>CEDAR</td><td>TOWN</td></td<>			CANYON		GRAFORD	CEDAR	TOWN			
UPPER BROWNWOOD UPPER BROWNWOOD UPPER BROWNWOOD UPPER BROWNWOOD UPPER BROWNWOOD PALO PINTO RECHT CREEK ALESVILLE WHITT KECCHI CREEK ALESVILLE ALESVILLE CAPPS LIME MORRIS SANDSTONE GARNER STRAWN STRAWN MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK LAZY BEND MILLSAP LAKE GRINDSTONE CREEK LAZY BEND RAVILLE PARKS CADDO I AND III PREGNANT SHALE RAVILLE PARKS CADDO POOL MORROW MORROW MORROW MORROW SMITHWICK MORROW MORROW MORROW MARBLE FALLS SMITHWICK COMYN MERAMEC DAGE CHESTER COMYN MERAMEC VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA WILBERNS TANYARD OZARKIAN RILEY HICKORY HICKORY RILEY HICKORY	AN									
UNDER UNDER UNDER UNDER NUMBER UNDER UNDER	/AN									
NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER CAPPS LIME NORRIS SANDSTONE CAPPS LIME MORRIS SANDSTONE GARNER STRAWN STRAWN S	SYLV				\//ШТТ	KEECHI				
Image: Construct of the second sec	NN									
NOT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT OUT O	Н	<u> </u>								
Image: Strawn St										
NUMBER STRAWN STRAWN <td></td> <td></td> <td rowspan="6">STRAWN SESE</td> <td></td> <td>LONE CAMP</td> <td colspan="3">GARNER</td>			STRAWN SESE		LONE CAMP	GARNER				
More with and a state of the state of th										
Image: Constraint of the second of the se		MIDDLE		SAS	MILLSAP LAKE	GRINDSTO	NE CREEK			
Image: State of the state o				PAS	KICKAPOO CREEK	LAZY BEND				
NORROW CADDO II AND III SMITHWICK MORROW CADDO II AND III SMITHWICK MORROW MORROW MORROW MERAMEC CHESTER COMYN MERAMEC VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA SIMPSON OZARKIAN ELLENBURGER GORMAN VIPER OZARKIAN RILEY PRECAMBRIAN RILEY				AM			RAVILLE			
MORROW SMITHWICK MORROW PREGNANT SHALE MORROW MORROW MARBLE FALLS CLASTICS MERAMEC CHESTER OSAGE CHAPPEL VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA <td></td> <td></td> <td>CADDOT</td> <td></td>						CADDOT				
ATOKA PREGNANT SHALE SMITHWICK ATOKA BIG SALINE BIG SALINE SMITHWICK MORROW MARBLE FALLS CHESTER COMYN BARNETT SHALE PORESTBURG MERAMEC OSAGE CHAPPEL VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA SIMPSON SIMPSON CANADIAN ELLENBURGER GORMAN TANYARD OZARKIAN WILBERNS UPPER HICKORY										
Big Saline SMITHWICK MORROW MORROW MORROW MARBLE FALLS CHESTER COMYN MERAMEC BARNETT SHALE OSAGE CHAPPEL VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA SIMPSON SIMPSON SIMPSON CANADIAN ELLENBURGER OZARKIAN WILBERNS UPPER HICKORY			ATOKA MORROW			PREGNANT	SHALE			
MORROW MORROW MORROW MARBLE FALLS CHESTER COMYN MERAMEC BARNETT SHALE OSAGE CHAPPEL VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA SIMPSON CANADIAN ELLENBURGER OZARKIAN WILBERNS UPPER HICKORY						BIG SALINE				
Image: Second		VER (MORROW				
CHESTER COMYN MERAMEC OSAGE OSAGE CHAPPEL VIOLA VI		LOV				MARBLE FALLS) • •~			
MERAMEC BARNETT SHALE FORESTBURG OSAGE CHAPPEL VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA SIMPSON SIMPSON CANADIAN ELLENBURGER OZARKIAN WILBERNS UPPER HICKORY	PIAN	CHESTER			CON	ΛΥN				
VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA SIMPSON SIMPSON CANADIAN	ISSIP		MERAMEC		BAR	NETT SHALE	FORESTBURG			
VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA VIOLA SIMPSON CANADIAN CANADIAN CANADIAN CANADIAN ELLENBURGER OZARKIAN UPPER UPPER PRECAMBRIAN	MISS	OSAGE			CHAPPEL					
VY SIMPSON SIMPSON CANADIAN ELLENBURGER HONEYCUT CANADIAN ELLENBURGER GORMAN OZARKIAN WILBERNS UPPER HICKORY	\sim				VIOLA	VIOLA				
CANADIAN HONEYCUT CANADIAN ELLENBURGER GORMAN TANYARD OZARKIAN WILBERNS UPPER RILEY PRECAMBRIAN HICKORY	CIAN	CANADIAN			SIMPSON	SIMPSON				
OZARKIAN CORMAN VILBERNS RILEY UPPER HICKORY						HONE	YCUT			
OZARKIAN WILBERNS UPPER HICKORY	RD				ELLENBURGER					
UPPER HICKORY		1	OZARKIAN			WILBE	ERNS			
Image: Signal Stress Hickory PRECAMBRIAN Hickory	BRIA					RIL	EY			
PRECAMBRIAN	CAM	UPPER				HICK	ORY			
		PRECAMBRIAN					~~~~~~			

Figure 2. Generalized stratigraphic column in the Fort Worth Basin (modified from Flippin, 1982). Used with permission from the Dallas Geological Society.

The Barnett Shale is the primary source for petroleum in the Fort Worth Basin, sourcing conventional reservoir systems with both oil and gas (Jarvie et al., 2003; Montgomery et al., 2005; Hill et al., 2007). The Newark East gas field initially comprised gas produced from the Pennsylvanian Bend Conglomerate (locally known as the Boonsville conglomerate), which is sourced from the Barnett Shale. These reservoirs are charged by gas generated

during the oil window at inferred maturities of 0.70-1.00% R_o based on carbon isotopic values (Jarvie et al., 2003; Hill et al., 2007). In addition, the Barnett Shale has sourced petroleum in conventional oil reservoirs, including Ellenburger, Chappel, Strawn, and various other stratigraphically distinct reservoir units based on highresolution gas chromatography, carbon isotopes, and biomarkers (Jarvie, 2000; Jarvie et al., 2001, 2005, 2006; R. J. Hill, D. M. Jarvie, R. M. Pollastro, K. A. Bowker, and B. L. Claxton, 2004, unpublished work; Hill et al., 2007). High-resolution light hydrocarbon data suggest some terrigenous oil input to a few production oils, which is possibly caused by organofacies variations within the Barnett Shale or mixing with Pennsylvanian Smithwick Shale hydrocarbons (Jarvie et al., 2003). The Smithwick Shale has excellent TOC values (1-3 wt.%), but with low initial hydrogen indices (<200 mg HC/g rock), making it a type III gas-prone kerogen (Jarvie and Henk, 2006). No other horizons in the Fort Worth Basin have been identified that have petroleum source potential.

SAMPLES AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A geochemical database consisting of 315 cores, 488 cuttings, and 6 outcrop samples of the Barnett Shale in the Fort Worth Basin was used to determine its geochemical characteristics including gas-generation potential. Core samples from six different high-thermal-maturity Fort Worth Basin wells obtained from the Texas Bureau of Economic Geology were analyzed at 1-ft (0.3-m) intervals with average values reported in Table 1. Oryx provided core samples from the Oryx 1 Grant well located in Montague County, which is in the middle oil window (0.80% R_o). Cuttings samples are from 35 wells in 17 different counties, with average values for all samples and for lower-thermal-maturity samples $(T_{\text{max}} < 440^{\circ}\text{C})$ reported in Table 1 (see the appendix for definition of Rock-Eval parameters). In addition, six outcrop samples from two locations in the far southwestern Fort Worth Basin provided thermally immature Barnett Shale samples, as does one set of cuttings from the Explo Oil 3 Mitcham well in Brown County.

KEROGEN TYPE

The average original hydrogen index (HI_o) value of immature Lampasas County outcrop samples (1, Figure 1) is 475 mg HC/g TOC, indicative of type II marine oilprone kerogen (Jones, 1984). Cuttings samples from the Explo Oil 3 Mitcham well in Brown County (2, Figure 1) are low thermal maturity (\sim 0.60% R_o) and have lower HI_o than the Lampasas outcrops averaging 392 mg HC/g TOC. Thus, measured HI_o values for low-thermalmaturity samples range from 392 to 475 mg HC/g TOC. When these low-maturity cuttings and the immature outcrop samples are combined on a plot of Rock-Eval S₂ vs. TOC, the slope of the best-fit line gives HI_o of 533 mg HC/g TOC (Langford and Blanc-Valleron, 1990; Cornford et al., 1998) (Figure 3). Thus, HI_o covers a range of values from 392 to 533 mg HC/g TOC based on both measured and graphical interpretation of measured data.

Visual assessments of kerogen indicate the presence of 95–100% amorphous (structureless) organic matter with occasional algal *Tasmanites*, confirming the chemical kerogen type. Minor amounts of terrestrial organics may also be found. Although this kerogen type is associated with anoxia, this can first develop at topographic lows in the basin from density stratification, meaning that there can be minor oxidized organic matter occurring with well-preserved organic matter (Jones, 1984).

The hydrocarbons generated from a siliceous marine type II kerogen are predominantly low-sulfur oil and cogenerated gas in the maturity range of 0.60-0.99% R_o. Organic matter from the Barnett Shale generates about 30% gas in the oil window from primary cracking based on laboratory experiments (Jarvie et al., 2003). At increasing thermal maturity, present-day HI (HI_{pd}) is less than HI_o, and HI_{pd} will not be indicative of the original kerogen type, but will provide an indication of the primary products that can be generated (e.g., oil, mixed, wet gas, dry gas).

ORGANIC RICHNESS

Determination of the original total organic carbon (TOC_o) of a source rock provides a quantitative means to estimate the total volume of hydrocarbons that it can generate depending on kerogen type. Heavily explored areas generally have source rocks that are thermally mature, so it is not straightforward to determine original values. Consideration of the components of TOC assists in understanding how to restore highly mature TOC to TOC_o .

Total organic carbon in a source rock comprises three basic components: (1) organic carbon in retained hydrocarbons as received in the laboratory (C_{HC}); (2) organic carbon that can be converted to hydrocarbons, C_{C} , called convertible carbon (Jarvie, 1991a) or

Thermal Maturity	Map Number	Operator and Well**	County	TOC (wt.%)	S ₁ (mg HC/g Rock)	S ₂ (mg HC/g Rock)	HI*** (mg HC/g TOC	7 _{max} C) (°C)	Calculated R _o (%) (from T_{max}) [†]	Measured R _o (%)	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Oil in} \\ \mbox{Rock from} \\ \mbox{S}_1 \mbox{ (bbl oil/ac-ft)}^{\dagger\dagger} \end{array}$	Generation Potential from S_2 (bbl oil/ac-ft) ^{††}	Number of Samples
Immature	1	Outcrops (average)	Lampasas	11.47	2.93	54.43	475	425	0.48	0.48	64	1192	6
Immature	2	Explo 3 Mitcham	Brown	4.67	2.60	18.17	392	434	0.66	0.62	57	398	3
		Cuttings (all)	Various	2.72	0.74	1.97	72	462	1.16	1.21	16	43	488
		Cuttings (low maturity $- T_{max} < 440^{\circ}$ C)	Various	2.91	1.26	6.59	227	439	0.75	0.67	28	144	51
		Cuttings (high maturity) (HI <100)	Various	2.62	0.53	0.86	33	nr	nr		12	19	402
Oil window	3	Oryx 1 Grant (core)	Montague	4.70	3.60	14.09	300	446	0.86	0.86	79	308	25
Gas window	4	MEC 2 Sims (core)	Wise	4.21	0.33	1.07	25	491	1.67	1.67	7	23	99
Gas window	5	MEC 1 Maeyers (core)	Wise	4.45	0.61	0.97	22	477	1.43	na	13	21	9
Gas window	6	MEC 1 Young (core)	Wise	4.73	1.95	2.78	59	468	1.27	na	43	61	111
Gas window	7	MEC 1 Stevenson (core)	Wise	4.68	0.76	0.96	20	470	1.30	na	17	21	12
Gas window	8	APC 1 Oliver (core)	Tarrant	4.30	0.26	0.59	14	544	2.64	na	6	13	59
		Average of high-maturity cores	,	4.48	0.78	1.27	28	490	1.66	1.67	17	28	

Table 1. Average TOC, Rock-Eval, and Vitrinite Reflectance Values and Computations from Core, Cuttings, and Outcrop Samples of the Barnett Shale, Fort Worth Basin*

*Samples are divided into immature, oil-window, and gas-window thermal-maturity categories.

**MEC = Mitchell Energy Corporation; APC = Anadarko Petroleum Corporation.

***HI = Hydrogen Index.

[†]Calculated R_o from $T_{max} = 0.0180 \times T_{max} - 7.16$ (Jarvie et al., 2001). ^{††}Conversion factor for S₁ or S₂ to bbl oil/ac-ft = 21.89. Figure 3. Plot of generation potential (S₂) vs. organic richness (TOC). Solid large squares are immature to early mature samples that provide measured indications of HI_{o} and C_{R} from the slope and x-intercept. Open, small squares are highmaturity (average 1.8% R_o) core data. Solid lines from solid squares to x-axis are projected decomposition lines from immature to high thermal maturity.



reactive or labile carbon (Cooles et al., 1986); and (3) a carbonaceous organic residue that will not yield hydrocarbons because of insufficient hydrogen commonly referred to as inert carbon (Cooles et al., 1986; Jarvie, 1991a), dead carbon, or residual organic carbon (C_R). As organic matter matures, C_C is converted to hydrocarbons and a carbonaceous residue eventually resulting in a reduced TOC when expulsion occurs.

Immature (0.48% R_o) outcrop samples of the Barnett Shale (n = 6) have high TOC values averaging 11.47%. Their average generation potential (Rock-Eval S₂ yield) is 54.43 mg HC/g rock or 1192 bbl of oil equivalent/ac-ft (0.15 m³/m³). However, low-maturity cuttings from Explo Oil Inc. 3 Mitcham well in Brown County at the far southwestern flank of the basin average 4.67 wt.% TOC with S₂ values of 18.17 mg HC/g rock (398 bbl of oil equivalent/ac-ft or 0.05 m³/m³).

The present-day average TOC from 290 highthermal-maturity Barnett Shale core samples from six wells in the principal producing area of Wise and Tarrant counties (average % R_o of 1.67) is 4.48 wt.% (see Table 1). Because TOC values commonly show lognormal distributions (Cornford et al., 1998), average values may not always be representative of TOC. However, these values are consistent with well-to-well averages that are in the range of 4–5% TOC. Removal of the residual C_{CHC} in Rock-Eval S₁ and S₂ yields a C_{Rpd} of 4.43 wt.%.

From Figure 3, the *x*-axis intercept is the amount of dead carbon that does not yield any hydrocarbons (Cornford et al., 1998) and is 1.26 wt.%. However, or-

ganic matter decomposition results in C_R at high thermal maturity increasing by about 10–20% versus the original C_R value (Burnham, 1989). The increase in C_R may be from aromatization and condensation reactions (Muscio and Horsfield, 1996) or from the carbon-rich residue of secondary cracking of petroleum to gas. The open-system maturation data (i.e., free of secondary cracking) of Jarvie and Lundell (1991) on low-maturity cuttings showed a C_{Rpd} intercept of 3.20%.

We developed another approach for determining TOC_o that consists of first determining HI_o based on visual kerogen type percentages and using average HI_o for four kerogen types (averages derived from range of HI in Jones, 1984) (equation 1, appendix).

The transformation ratio (TR_{HI}) is the change in HI_{o} to present-day values (HI_{pd}) that includes a correction for early free oil content from the original production index (PI_o) and present-day oil content (PI_{pd}) (Peters et al., 2006) (equation 2, appendix). Once TR_{HI} is determined, TOC_0 can be calculated (equation 3, appendix). From equations 1 to 3, the calculated TOC_o value for Barnett Shale is 6.41% based on 95% type II and 5% type III with fractional conversion at 0.95. This yields an average original HI value of 434 mg HC/g TOC. This yields a C_{Ro} of 4.09 wt.%. C_C of 2.32 wt.% is the amount of organic carbon that is converted to hydrocarbons, which computes to 27.84 mg HC/g rock for S_{20} or 609 bbl of oil equivalent/ac-ft (0.082 m³/m³). The change in these values with increasing thermal maturity based on the calibration data from Jarvie and Lundell (1991) and Montgomery et al. (2005) is shown in Table 2.

Approximate R _o (%)	TOC _{pd} (wt.%)	Change in from TOC _o (%)	C _C * (wt.%)	C _C Conversion (%)	TR _{HI} (f) (%)	C _R ** (wt.%)	Increase in C _R (%)	Potential Porosity from C _C Decomposition (vol. %)
0.55	6.41	0.0	2.32	0	0	4.09	0.0	0
0.85	5.50	14.2	1.16	50	41	4.34	6.1	2.4
1.40	4.85	24.3	0.23	90	86	4.62	13.0	4.3

Table 2. Experimental Data from Jarvie and Lundell (1991) Showing Change in TOC_o , C_c , and $C_{R'}$ and Porosity Increase with Increasing Thermal Maturity

 $*C_{C}$ = organic carbon that can be converted to hydrocarbons and carbonaceous residue.

 $**C_R$ = residual organic carbon.

The TOC_o (6.41%) is less than estimates that would be made from both Daly and Edman (1985) and Jarvie and Lundell (1991), who used corrections of 50 and 36%, respectively, to compute original TOC values from C_R on high-maturity samples for type II kerogens. This is likely caused by excluding the increase in C_R because of oil cracking or other reactions in these earlier studies.

THERMAL MATURITY

Vitrinite Reflectance

High gas content in the Barnett Shale is caused by the volumes of hydrocarbons generated (a result of organic richness, generation potential, and shale thickness), thermal maturity, and retention of a part of liquid hydrocarbons for subsequent cracking to gas. Where lower maturity Barnett Shale is found, gas flow rates are lower, and this is hypothesized to be caused by both lower volumes of generated gas and the presence of residual hydrocarbon fluids that occlude pore throats. The high gas flow rates, achieved in many high-maturity Barnett Shale wells, result from the large increase in gas generation because of both kerogen and oil cracking. Thus, thermal maturity is a key geochemical parameter to assess the likelihood of high-flow-rate shale gas.

Thermal maturity provides an indication of the maximum paleotemperature reached by a source rock. Two basic approaches exist to this determination: visual and chemical. Vitrinite reflectance is the most common approach for the determination of thermal maturity, which is completed by microscopic examination of kerogen or whole rock mounts and recording the reflectivity of the particle via a photomultiplier. For a review of the history and methods of thermal-maturity assessment, the reader is referred to Burgess (1975). Numerous pitfalls exist to determining the indigenous

population of vitrinite, and we commonly use additional chemical assessments to supplement visual measurements. These include Rock-Eval $T_{\rm max}$, organic matter transformation ratio, residual hydrocarbon fingerprints (extract fingerprints), gas composition, and carbon isotopes, when available.

To be a fully useful parameter in assessment of oil and gas generation, thermal maturity must be directly related to the extent of organic matter conversion and, ultimately, the preservation of generated hydrocarbons. Maturity windows are dependent on the rates of decomposition (kinetics) of organic matter. Waples and Marzi (1998) demonstrated that because the kinetics of vitrinite and hydrocarbon generation overlap, they are related, but cannot provide a universal correlation.

Variation in rates of kerogen decomposition by kerogen type was illustrated by Espitalie et al. (1984), and precise measurements for various source rocks were reported by Jarvie and Lundell (2001). For example, using kinetic data from various kerogen types, they found that at 0.80% R_o at a constant heating rate of 3.3 °C/m.y., a low-sulfur type II kerogen such as the Barnett Shale would be approximately 27% converted, whereas a typical type III coal would only be about 9% converted, and a high-sulfur, high-oxygen Monterey Formation sample would be about 56% converted. Thus, oil and gas windows vary depending on source rock type and inherent decomposition rates as well as thermal histories.

Organic Matter Conversion (Transformation)

Although maturity parameters such as vitrinite reflectance are empirically related to the oil and gas windows, it is feasible to evaluate conversion directly by measuring changes in organic matter yields, i.e., the extent of kerogen conversion by calculation of the kerogen transformation ratio.

The conversion of organic matter can be assessed by the change in $TR_{\rm HI}$ values from low maturity to high

maturity. Commonly referred to as transformation ratio (TR), this term has conflicting meanings in the literature (e.g., Espitalie et al., 1984; Tissot and Welte, 1984; Pelet, 1985). Therefore, we add the subscript HI to transformation ratio (TR_{HI}) and convert to percent to define how it applies to kerogen conversion calculations. This is the same as the fractional conversion, f_{i} of Claypool (Peters et al., 2006). Assuming an average HI_o value of 434 mg HC/g TOC for the Barnett Shale (from equation 1 of the appendix), TR_{HI} can be calculated for any HI_{pd} value. For example, HI_{pd} of 28 mg HC/g TOC (e.g., high-maturity Barnett Shale cores, Table 1) suggests about 93% conversion of Barnett Shale organic matter to hydrocarbons and carbonaceous residue. For the lower-thermal-maturity Oryx 1 Grant core samples with a HI_{pd} of 300 mg HC/g TOC, the TR_{HI} is only 31%, explaining why high amounts of recoverable gas are not found and oil is found in conventional reservoirs in Montague County.

We emphasize that HI_o and hence, TR_{HI} is kerogen specific and does not apply to other kerogen types. TR_{HI} can be assessed from extensive analysis of samples of varying thermal maturity or derived by determining HI_o from maceral compositions as shown in equation 1.

HI_{pd} and TR_{HI} are effective to predict oil versus gas windows in the Barnett Shale. The sensitivity of TR_{HI} to HI_o is critical around approximately 80% conversion of organic matter (onset of primary condensate-wet gas window). As can be seen in the immature Barnett Shale data in Table 1, HI_o values can readily vary by ± 50 mg HC/g TOC. However, even with this range of HI_o, the error in TR_{HI} is only $\pm 2.5\%$ (at very high maturity, it is $\pm 1.4\%$, whereas at middle maturity, it is about $\pm 10\%$). For the high-maturity Barnett Shale thermogenic gas system, an approximation of TR_{HI} to equivalent vitrinite reflectance values is derived from data points from the earliest oil window to the end of the gas window (Jarvie et al., 2005). The TR_{HI} needed for the earliest gas window is approximately 80%, but the dry-gas window requires values upward of 90%.

To illustrate the effectiveness of this approach to assess oil and gas windows for the Barnett Shale in the Fort Worth Basin, contour maps of both HI_{pd} and TR_{HI} are shown in Figure 4a and b. These maps complement a vitrinite reflectance maturity map with a pyrolysis-based chemical assessment of thermal maturity. Interestingly, these maps suggest potential gas production farther to the west in the Fort Worth Basin than do vitrinite reflectance data (e.g., Montgomery et al., 2005).

For evaluation of plays and prospects, it is useful to overlay the predicted oil and gas windows from visual and chemical interpretations of geochemical data; where the interpretations agree, risk is lower, and where they disagree, risk is higher. Further interpretation or analysis may be required to resolve differences.

COMPOSITION OF RESIDUAL HYDROCARBON FLUIDS

Because conversion of organic matter depends on kerogen type and thermal history, it is also imperative to evaluate the residual hydrocarbons in shale. The presence of liquid hydrocarbons in Barnett Shale is coincident with lower gas flow rates, faster gas production decline curves, and less-recoverable gas. Shales containing paraffins above C_{20} or with large unresolved complex mixtures (UCM) on gas chromatographic fingerprints have much lower gas flow rates than shales with only C_{20} residual hydrocarbon content and no UCM. Samples with high C_{20+} paraffins in extractable organic matter will have lower gas-to-oil (GOR) values consistent with the black-oil maturity window, whereas samples with low C_{20+} hydrocarbons (<5%) will have higher GOR values (>3500 scf/bbl of oil; 623 m³/m³).

A gas chromatographic fingerprint of residual hydrocarbons extracted from the Barnett Shale reveals the presence of problematic petroleum products, such as extended paraffins or UCM that are hypothesized to occlude pore throats restricting gas flow (Figure 5a). If the percentage of C_{20} to total area of the gas chromatographic fingerprint is greater than 95% (i.e., only condensate-like liquid hydrocarbons are present, e.g., Figure 5b), then high gas flow rates and high GOR values are predicted, all other factors being equal.

INTERPRETED THERMAL MATURITY

Thermal maturity derived from visual and chemical methods can be compared using a conversion routine and polar plot of various maturation parameters. This requires careful calibration of various thermal maturity parameters. For the Barnett Shale, a range of optimum maturity values to achieve economic gas flow rates are shown in Table 3. A maturity risk plot modified from Jarvie et al. (2005) is a simple means to compare various maturity parameters and their application to initial economic assessment of low-permeability shale-gas systems such as the Barnett Shale or other low-maturity gas systems such as the New Albany or Antrim shales (Figure 6).



Figure 4. Maps of Barnett Shale kerogen conversion based on (a) HI and (b) HI-based transformation ratio (TR_{HI}). These show excellent correlation to the vitrinite reflectance based maturity map of Montgomery et al. (2005), but suggest an expansion to the gas-productive window farther to the west.

485

Figure 5. Gas chromatographic (GC) histograms and fingerprints (inset) from Barnett Shale solvent extracts in the (a) oil window and (b) gas window. A GC fingerprint is basically a histogram of the yield (y-axis) and distribution (x-axis) of various resolvable compounds from GC analysis. The presence of extended paraffins and a large unresolved complex mixture (UCM) causes gas flow reduction or occlusion in the Barnett Shale.



COMPUTATION OF POROSITY CAUSED BY ORGANIC CARBON CONVERSION

The thermal conversion of kerogen to petroleum results in the formation of a carbon-rich residue (C_R) and increased porosity in the rock matrix, which impacts gas storage capacity. Although TOC is reported in weight percent, its volume percent is about two times higher. For an average TOC of 6.41 wt.% (mass), the volume percent TOC is about 12.7 vol.% using 1.18 g/cm^3 density for organic matter. When thermal maturation is in the dry-gas window (e.g., >1.4% R_o), approximately 4.3 vol.% porosity is created by organic matter decomposition (see Table 2).

During thermal maturation, C_R also becomes more dense, reaching 1.35 g/cm³ for amorphous organic matter (Okiongbo et al., 2005). However, that still does not exclude microporosity in C_R . At high maturity,

	R _o (%)	T _{max} (°C)	TR (%)	HI _{pd} (mg HC/g TOC)	Dry Gas (%)	C ₂₀₊ (%)
Minimum	1.00	455	80	76-100	80	5
Better	1.20	465	90	50-75	90	3
Best	1.40	475	95	<50	95	1

Table 3. Minimum and Best Values for Determining Whether a Low-Porosity, Low-Permeability Shale Has High Thermal Maturity

 Using Both Visual and Chemical Maturity Parameters

stacked layers of polyaromatic rings are separated by about 0.34–0.80 nm (Oberlin et al., 1980). Behar and Vandenbroucke (1987) report pore sizes of 5–50 nm, depending on kerogen type. These values are typical of the pore dimensions reported for Barnett Shale (Bowker, 2003a).

ADSORPTION OF OIL AND GAS

Gas is stored in shale source rocks in two principal ways: (1) as gas adsorbed (chemical) and absorbed (physical) (or sorbed to include both physicochemical possibilities) to or within the organic matrix and (2) as free gas in pore spaces or in fractures created either by organic matter decomposition or other diagenetic or tectonic processes. Organic richness, kerogen type, and thermal maturity impact the sorptive capacity of organic matter.

Sorption capacity also affects expulsion efficiency. Although expulsion efficiency is generally cited as related to saturation levels in source rock, it is also a function of sorption capacity, which may be the principal control (Pepper, 1992). Adsorptive sites must be filled before expulsion can proceed (Pepper, 1992), which is independent of saturation thresholds. The function of adsorption and saturation thresholds is illustrated by the numerical simulation of hydrocarbon generation and expulsion from the Barnett Shale in the Fort Worth Basin using the PetroMod[®] one-dimensional basin modeling software (IES). In the first simulation, a standard (default) pore saturation-based simulation of 20% is used (Figure 7a). This is equivalent to about 54 mg HC/g TOC. However, values for adsorption in



Figure 6. Polar shale-gas risk plot with various visual and chemical assessments of organic matter conversion or thermal maturity. Low-porosity and low-permeability shales at high levels of organic matter conversion have potential for high gas flow rates (>1 mmcf/day) will plot in the light-gray hatched area, whereas flow rates will be lower for similar shales at low levels of conversion (dark hatched area). Values for the productive MEC 2 Sims and the nonproductive Oryx 1 Grant well are shown with dashed and dash-dotted lines, respectively. Values for highmaturity shale gas are listed in Table 3.



Figure 7. Numerical simulation of hydrocarbon generation from the Barnett Shale in the Fort Worth Basin with and without adsorption. (a) When a saturation threshold default value is used, the numerical simulation shows only residual oil remaining in the Barnett Shale as the balance has been expelled. (b) When adsorption is included (200 mg HC/g TOC), hydrocarbons are retained and cracked to gas as shown by the calculated high gas contents.

488



Figure 8. Processes in a source rock leading to oil, gas, and carbon-rich residue (pyrobitumen). High-maturity shale-gas systems derive high gas contents from the indigenous generation of gas from kerogen, bitumen, and oil cracking.

the oil window are estimated to range from 130 to 200 mg HC/g TOC (Pepper, 1992). In the second simulation, an adsorption saturation of 200 mg HC/g TOC (Figure 7b) is used and illustrates the dramatic impact that adsorption plays in the final product composition in the shale-gas reservoir system. Large differences exist in the amount and types of hydrocarbons that were expelled and, consequently, the amount of oil that was retained for cracking to gas. This dramatically impacts the calculated product composition (gas vs. oil) that is present today in the Barnett Shale.

Adsorption may also impact the formation of gas by the decomposition of paraffins (alkanes), which have very high bond decomposition energies. Recent work on the cracking of alkane carbon-carbon bonds shows that adsorption of straight-chain alkanes to a solid matrix results in the structural flex in carbon-carbon bonds and breakage at lower-than-expected energies (Sheiko et al., 2006). Adsorption may also provide the intimate contact between generated hydrocarbons and transition metals, for example, which is required for the catalysis of paraffins to methane and a carbonaceous residue (Mango and Jarvie, 2006).

GAS GENERATION

As a type II oil-prone source rock, what makes the Barnett Shale in the Fort Worth Basin such an exceptional gas system? The first consideration is how large volumes of gas can be formed, and the second is how gas can be stored. Three distinct processes result in the formation of thermogenic gas within shale (Figure 8): (1) the decomposition of kerogen to gas and bitumen; (2) the decomposition of bitumen to oil and gas (steps 1 and 2 are primary cracking); and (3) the decomposition of oil to gas and a carbon-rich coke or pyrobitumen residue (secondary cracking). The latter process depends on the retention or adsorption of oil in the system, which is a key to the large resource potential of the Barnett Shale gas system.

Primary kerogen cracking occurs between temperatures of 80 and 180°C (176 and 356°F), for 10 and 90% conversion, respectively, based on kinetic data and average heating rates (Jarvie and Lundell, 2001). Most source rocks reach 50% conversion between 130 and 145°C (266 and 293°F). Secondary oil to gas cracking has been suggested to begin at about 150°C (302°F) depending on heating rate (e.g., Claypool and Mancini, 1990; Waples, 2000). However, based on whole extract (Jarvie, 1991b) and asphaltene cracking kinetics (di Primio et al., 2000), some volatile bitumen components consisting primarily of asphaltenes and resins crack at the same time or soon after their formation from kerogen. Kinetic experiments and liquid chromatographic analysis indicate that approximately 10% of Barnett Shale-sourced oil cracks over the same temperature window as most kerogens. These fractions average 10-20% of Barnett Shale solvent extracts. The balance of Barnett Shale extracts are composed of about 80% paraffins and aromatics that require higher temperatures to decompose (>175°C; >347°F) excluding any adsorption-induced reduction in cracking rates (Sheiko et al., 2006).

For the most part, the Barnett Shale is a closed system; i.e., products are not released immediately after generation. Pressure buildup caused by the generation of hydrocarbon and nonhydrocarbon gases such as carbon dioxide exists. Carbon dioxide is likely derived from the

early stages of Barnett Shale organic matter decomposition, although carbonate thermal decomposition and decarboxylation reactions may also increase concentrations of this gas. With increasing thermal maturity, secondary cracking of retained oil results in the formation of hydrocarbon gas, an increase in GOR, a concomitant increase in pressure, and microfracturing. Estimates suggest that 1% oil cracking in a closed system creates sufficient pressure to exceed the fracture threshold of the rock fabric (Gaarenstroom et al., 1993). Thus, microfractures and migration pathways in the Barnett Shale originate, at least in part, from early hydrocarbon and nonhydrocarbon (primarily carbon dioxide and nitrogen) gas generation and secondary cracking of hydrocarbons in the oil and gas windows. This and mass balance considerations suggest episodic expulsion from the Barnett Shale. This process likely occurred many times in the core area, and the range of maturities $(0.70-1.0\% R_o)$ of gas samples in the Boonsville field supports this hypothesis (Jarvie et al., 2003, 2004; R. J. Hill, D. M. Jarvie, R. M. Pollastro, K. A. Bowker, and B. L. Claxton, 2004, unpublished work; Hill et al., 2007).

The creation of microporosity from organic matter decomposition appears to reasonably explain the measured porosity values and the residual organic carbon content. Solvent extraction, which will remove most extractable organic matter, does not remove all adsorbed and trapped hydrocarbons from highly mature Barnett Shale, and further analysis of occluded gas demonstrates the presence of high-maturity gas in the MEC 2 Sims well core samples (Jarvie et al., 2004). These microreservoirs are gas-filled compartments at about 1.70% R_o , and their lack of connectivity provides an explanation for the efficacy of restimulation, releasing more gas.

Below 1.0% Ro, these microreservoirs are filled with retained petroleum consisting of both oil and gas that restricts gas flow rates and increases production decline rates. This may be a function of discontinuous pore throats or pore throats constricted by adsorbed hydrocarbons, requiring elevated energy to break through exits blocked by adsorbed hydrocarbons or an activated exit (Lindgreen, 1987), i.e., sufficient energy or pressure to overcome adsorption by high-molecular-weight petroleum constituents that have higher adsorption activities than gas resulting in occluded pore throats with pressure drawdown. At some locations, paleotemperature and pressure regimes in the Barnett Shale have provided sufficient energy to overcome these activation barriers resulting in expulsion. Data from a variety of sources demonstrate that compositional fractions within crude oil are not equally amenable to expulsion because of sorption (e.g., McAuliffe, 1980; Stainforth and Reinders, 1990; Sandvik et al., 1992).

Overall, lower gas flow rates and faster production decline rates in the oil window $(0.50-0.99\% R_0)$ are likely caused by (1) low quantities of gas generated only from primary kerogen cracking (low GOR); (2) restricted release of hydrocarbons caused by the adsorption and occlusion of pore throats by adsorbed bitumen, asphaltenes, and other components of black oil; and (3) lower initial pressures with faster pressure drawdown, or a combination of these and other factors. However, above 1.0-1.4% R_o (paleotemperature of more than 150°C [302°F]), much of the highermolecular-weight crude oil components have been cracked to gas, shale pores are filled with gas, and adsorption affinity is reduced because of the reduction in size of hydrocarbons. Under these conditions, the Barnett Shale has more gas caused by secondary cracking, and stimulation allows a part of the exposed free and adsorbed gas (high GOR) to escape into the wellbore. Pepper (1992) estimated that adsorption is reduced by a factor of 10 at high maturities from 200 mg HC/g TOC in the oil window to about 20 mg HC/g TOC in the gas window. Instead, this may be a function of the reduced energy by which lower-molecular-weight paraffins are adsorbed, but in either case, gas flow is enhanced. Secondary cracking can occur in other nonshale reservoir rocks such as the Bossier Shale gas system of east Texas, where the presence of pyrobitumen in tight sands and silts indicates such a process (Emme and Stancil, 2002; Chaouche, 2005).

Volumes of Hydrocarbons Generated

The remaining hydrocarbon-generation potential of source rocks is typically measured by Rock-Eval pyrolysis (S₂) yields. Based on available data, an average, immature Barnett Shale original pyrolysis or original generation potential (S₂₀) contains 27.84 mg HC/g rock (Table 4). This pyrolysis amount can be converted to barrels of oil equivalent per acre-foot and, using an average thickness of 350 ft (106.7 m), results in a yield of 136.5 MMBO equivalent per section (where a section is 640 ac [2.59 km²]) (8.90 × 10¹³ m³/m³) or, in gas equivalent, 820 bcf/section (8.93 × 10³ m³/m³).

The cracking of oil to gas is limited by the amount of available hydrogen in the system needed to form wet and dry gas. The atomic H/C ratio for oil is about 1.8 H/C depending on composition, whereas methane Table 4. Gas Storage Capacity of the Barnett Shale under Various PVT Conditions for Present-Day and Paleotemperatures and Pressures*

Description	GIP Low Estimate	GIP Median Estimate	GIP High Estimate
Estimated ultimate recoverable (EUR) (55-ac [22-ha] well spacing)** (bcf)	1.30	1.75	2.50
Estimated recovery (estimated between 8 and 12%) †	10%	10%	10%
Estimated gas in place (GIP) based on well EUR and estimated recoveries (bcf/section)	151	204	291
TOC_o (wt.% estimated average) ^{††}		6.41	
TOC _C (wt.% measured average) ^{\dagger†}		2.32	
Original generation potential (Rock-Eval S ₂₀ , mg HC/g rock) ‡		27.84	
Estimate of amount of oil generated from kerogen (70% of total hydrocarbons) (bbl oil/ac-ft) ^{‡‡}		427	
Estimate of amount of gas generated from kerogen (30% of total hydrocarbons) (mcf/ac-ft) [¶]		1097	
Source rock thickness (ft)		350	
Primary oil generated from kerogen with above thickness converted to gas equivalent (bcf/section)		573	
Primary gas generated from kerogen from shale with above thickness (bcf/section)		247	
Total hydrocarbons (gas and oil) generated from primary cracking of kerogen (gas equivalent bcf/section)		820	
Expulsion factor		0.60	
Oil expelled (bbl oil/ac-ft)		256	
Gas expelled (mcf/ac-ft)		658	
Retained hydrocarbons			
Primary oil retained in shale (bbl oil/ac-ft)		171	
Primary gas retained in shale (mcf/ac-ft)		439	
Correction factor for insufficient hydrogen in oil		0.47	
Gas yield from secondary cracking of oil (mcf/ac-ft)		482	
Total retained gas (primary gas plus secondary gas from oil cracking) (mcf/ac-ft)		921	
Total retained hydrocarbons under these assumptions (bcf/section)		206	

*PVT = pressure, volume, temperature.

**EUR from Adams (2001), Bowker (2003a), Montgomery et al. (2005).

[†]Estimated recovery percentage from Bowker (2003a), Montgomery et al. (2005).

^{††}See Table 2.

[‡]Conversion of wt.% CC to mg HC/g rock, divide by 0.08333.

^{‡‡}Conversion of Rock-Eval S₂ in mg HC/g rock to bbl oil/ac-ft, multiply by 21.89.

[¶]Conversion of Rock-Eval S₂ in mg HC/g rock to mcf/ac-ft, multiply by 131.34 (btu basis).

formation requires 4.0 hydrogens per carbon. Thus, there is about 55% hydrogen shortage in oil when it is cracked to methane. Gases in the Barnett Shale are typically less than 100% methane, and a reasonable average is about 90% methane across the entire productive area. Even at 90% methane, the H/C requirement for condensate wet-gas formation is about 3.8, so hydrogen deficiencies into account, gas-generation potential for the Barnett Shale is about 550 bcf/section $(5.99 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3)$ although 148 bcf/section of gas has likely been expelled. However, reductions caused by the expulsion of petroleum will further reduce the gas-generation potential of the Barnett Shale system.

Expulsion Efficiency

The Barnett Shale has expelled hydrocarbons into conventional oil and gas reservoirs based on the correlation of black oils and condensates to Barnett Shale– produced oils, condensates, and extracts (Jarvie et al., 2001, 2003; R. J. Hill, D. M. Jarvie, R. M. Pollastro, K. A. Bowker, and B. L. Claxton, 2004, unpublished work; Hill et al., 2007). In fact, the Boonsville field contains $2-3 \text{ tcf} (5.66-8.50 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3)$ of wet gas and condensate, and the combined produced oil in the Fort Worth Basin is more than 2 billion bbl (318 million m³; Pollastro et al., 2004). Accounting for the amount of expelled hydrocarbons is not a simple task because reconciliation of reserves plus losses during migration or to the surface is not readily estimated. An empirical approach uses EUR and recovery factors cited by operators in the Newark East field to evaluate the expulsion efficiency.

The EUR for Barnett Shale wells ranges from about 1.0 to 3.0 bcf/well (2.8 to $8.5 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3$), depending on whether a well is vertical or horizontal (Pollastro et al., 2004), but a reasonable average is about 1.75 bcf/well. If recovery estimates of 8-12% (Bowker, 2003a) or an average of 10% is used, the EUR per section is 17.50 bcf ($4.96 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$). Most wells have been drilled on 55-ac (22-ha) spacing, so the GIP per section is about 204 bcf ($5.78 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3$). This is higher by about 54 bcf/section than reported estimates of GIP in the Barnett Shale (150 bcf/section [$640 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3$]; Adams, 2003; Bowker, 2003b), although these authors used a thickness of 300 ft (91.44 m) for the Barnett Shale.

The total hydrocarbon-generation potential of Barnett Shale at high thermal maturity (>1.4% R_o) based on original generation potentials from Rock-Eval pyrolysis, corrected for hydrogen mass balance for mixed wet and dry gas, and an average thickness of 350 ft (106.7 m) is about 550 bcf/section (5987 m³/m³). Compared to GIP based on EUR, approximately 324 bcf/ section (3530 m³/m³) has been expelled from the Barnett Shale either in the form of gas or oil equivalent. This indicates about 60% expulsion from the Barnett Shale based on the above computed GIP.

Another variable in this calculation is the assumption of original generation potentials. Table 4 shows our best estimate calculation as well as low and high average values based on low-maturity Barnett Shale from Brown County and Barnett Shale outcrop samples collected in Lampasas County, respectively. The average value of these two locations approximate our best values arrived at independently based on HI_o and TOC_o equations. TOC_R values greater than 8% (~12% TOC_o) in Barnett Shale are not common, indicating that the rich outcrop samples are an end member of organic-rich Barnett Shale. In addition, the Explo 3 Mitcham samples are cuttings and are early mature (about 0.62% R_o), with a slight understatement of TOC_o and S_{2o}. We think, therefore, that expulsion efficiencies are about 60%, although the range is likely 50-70%. This is lower than conventional wisdom for source rock expulsion efficiency, but explains the high gas contents of gas-window mature Barnett Shale.

Considering TOC_o and its components, C_C and C_R , a reasonable explanation of the expelled carbon as hydrocarbons, retained carbon as gas and some liquids, and the slight increase in C_R are reasonably accounted for

492 The Mississippian Barnett Shale Gas System

as shown in Figure 9. At 60% expulsion, only 0.91 wt.% carbon is cracked to gas and a carbonaceous residue. The cited hydrogen deficiency results in an increase in C_R by 0.31 wt.%, which, when added to the original C_R , yields 4.40 wt.% C_R at high maturity. This is comparable to the measured average value of 4.48 wt.% for C_R from the database of high-maturity Barnett Shale (see Table 1). The remaining 0.32 wt.% of hydrocarbons is cracked to gas at high thermal maturity and yields about 911 mcf/ac-ft (18.5 m³/m³) of gas under these assumptions.

GAS STORAGE CAPACITY

Scientists have shown that the Barnett Shale has the capacity to generate enormous amounts of gas, but can these volumes be stored in a low-permeability shale? Modeling gas storage using pressure, volume, and temperature (PVT) properties assuming nonideal gas, typical porosity values (5–8%), 3800 psi (26.2 MPa), and 70°C ($34^{\circ}F$) indicates that the storage capacity of the Barnett Shale is also enormous, ranging from 450 to 720 mcf/ ac-ft ($103 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3$) (Table 5). For a 6% porosity shale under these temperature and pressure conditions, there is approximately 159 scf/ton (4.96 cubic meters/metric ton), consistent with the estimates of Mavor (2001).

At maximum burial depth (> 250 Ma), highmaturity Barnett Shale (>1.40% R_o) was at much higher temperatures and pressures. An additional 5000 ft (1524 m) of burial or hydrothermal water movement would likely have elevated temperatures and pressures to about 180°C (356°F) and 8000 psi (55.2 MPa). Oil would be cracked to gas; organic matter decomposition would be near completion, providing additional pore storage capacity; and adsorption would be at a maximum in the burial and thermal history of the Barnett Shale. With uplift, temperature and pressure would be reduced, resulting in less adsorbed gas and easier release of gas.

MINERALOGY

Although mineralogical analysis is generally not included in organic geochemical assessments, it is an important factor in gas production from the Barnett Shale and other tight shale systems that require stimulation. Paraphrasing Bowker (2002), the Barnett Shale produces so much gas because it is brittle and responds to stimulation (and



Figure 9. Depiction of TOC components and values that result from thermal maturation of organic matter in Barnett Shale. A part of the TOC_o, C_C at 2.32 wt.% is converted to hydrocarbons, whereas there is also a hydrogen-poor component, C_R at 4.09 wt.%. With thermal maturation, hydrocarbons are generated and an estimated 60% of carbon in generated hydrocarbons (C_{Cex}) is expelled from the Barnett Shale. The expelled products are approximately 70% petroleum and 30% gas. A portion of carbon is not expelled (C_{Cnex}) as hydrocarbons, but is further cracked to (C_{Cgaso}). Carbon in gas totals 0.28 wt.% unexpelled gas from primary cracking of kerogen and 0.31 wt.% carbon in unexpelled oil that was cracked to gas. Additional dead carbon is formed from secondary cracking of oil (C_{Roc}), yielding a high thermal maturity C_R of 4.43 wt.% comparable to the database average for high-maturity cores (4.48 wt.%; see Table 1). Only 0.59 wt.% carbon is retained in the Barnett Shale as gas, but this totals 921 mcf/ac-ft.

because it has high gas contents). Although the organic matter is capable of generating, retaining, and storing huge amounts of hydrocarbons, gas flow is limited if the individual microreservoir compartments cannot be connected via well stimulation. This brittleness is related to mineralogy, and the Barnett Shale contains high percentages of quartz derived from biogenic silica (data from Gas Research Institute, 1991) (Figure 10). Although a shale by name and particle size, clay contents range from more than 40 to less than 5%. Clay, guartz, and carbonate contents are highly variable in the Barnett Shale and result in variable fracture gradients (Martineau, 2001), so it would be expected that some zones are more fractured during stimulation than others. Without these mineralogical characteristics, stimulation and fracturing of the Barnett Shale gas system would not be as successful given current technologies.

PROJECTED INITIAL GAS PRODUCTION RATES

With the integration of source rock volumetrics (total generation potential and thickness) and natural and induced fracture presence, gas flow rates can be estimated. A schematic for this is shown in Figure 11 based on Barnett Shale vertical wells. The goal of this schematic is to provide risk assessment. Obviously, a variety of non-geochemical factors affect flow rates, including geological and engineering issues such as the presence of faults and structures, stimulation barriers, stimulation technique and size, and the ability of the rock to fracture.

This flow-risk assessment has been applied to Barnett Shale wells in the Fort Worth Basin in addition to wells in the Delaware and Arkoma basins to predict flow rates from Barnett and Woodford shales. For example, in the core area of the Fort Worth Basin, the Mitchell Energy

Porosity (%)	mcf/ac-ft at 70°C and 3800 psi	mcf/ac-ft at 180°C and 8000 psi
5	450	710
6	540	850
7	630	990
8	720	1130

*Used to compute expulsion and retained oil percentage from calculated original HI, TOC, and S₂ with corrections for oil cracking.

Corporation 2 T.P. Sims vertical well in Wise County has a maturity value of 1.65% R_o, and the well has flowed more than 1 mmcf/day (28.3 million m³/day). In noncore areas, Barnett Shale wells in the thermal-maturity window of 0.80-0.90% R_o range have initial production rates of 100-500 mcf/day (2.83–14.2 million m³/day). More recently, Infinity Oil and Gas has completed horizontal wells in Erath County that have mapped maturities of approximately 1.0% R_o that have flowed more than 1 mmcf/day (28.3 million m³/day; Infinity Energy Resources, 2006).

However, oil-window thermal-maturity Barnett Shale wells (0.60–0.99% $R_{\rm o}$) average less than 500 mmcf/day (14.2 \times 10⁶ million m³/day) over the first month of production and are not commercial depending on economic conditions (drilling costs, gas prices, etc.). Gas flow from shales in the oil window have lower EUR and ROR because less gas has been generated, and the wells also have even faster decline rates.

Structurally, it is also necessary to know the location of sink holes, karsts, macrofractures, faults, or other conduits in the sedimentary package. These features conduct stimulation energy away from the shale using current stimulation techniques, resulting in poor stimulation. One of the reasons for acquiring seismic data in the Fort Worth Basin is to identify areas not to drill based on the presence of sink holes or other structurally complex areas (Bowker, 2003a; Marble, 2006), although these may be found to be productive in the future as new completion technologies are developed.

Restimulation of productive wells commonly results in rates exceeding the original production flow rates (Bowker, 2003a). Mitchell Energy Corporation's 2 Sims well in Wise County shows the impact of restimulation at about 122 months as the initial restimulation flow





Figure 11. Diagrammatic illustration of increasing gas flow rates with increasing source rock organic richness (TOC), thermal maturity, GOR, and fractures found in shale-gas systems. Play economics can be evaluated using projected gas flow rates and EUR versus drilling and development costs.

rate exceed 2 mmcf/day ($5.66 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^3$ /day) (Figure 12). Changes in stress field orientation following production as well as improved stimulation techniques are the principal reasons for the increase in flow rates (Montgomery, 2004).

CONCLUSIONS

A variety of unconventional shale-gas resource plays and gas types associated with these plays exist. Gases may be biogenic or thermogenic, and although most gas is indigenous, in some cases, shale systems contain migrated gas. Shale-gas resource plays may be extremely tight (low permeability) to highly fractured, with variable bulk mineralogical composition controlling the brittle versus ductile nature of the shale. To evaluate the likelihood of economic shale-gas production, it is essential to determine whether the gas is thermogenic or biogenic and to evaluate the geologic characteristics of the shale-gas system to properly apply geochemical measurements and interpretation.

The Barnett Shale is an organic-rich, type II, oil-prone marine shale that originally averaged about 6.41% TOC, with a hydrogen index of about 434 mg HC/g TOC. Computation of original generation potentials yields about 27.84 mg HC/g rock or 609 bbl of oil equivalent/ ac-ft (0.083 m³/m³). The low permeability of the Barnett

Shale and its adsorptive capacity result in the retention of abundant petroleum, which can be cracked to gas given sufficient thermal maturation. Combined with primary kerogen-to-gas cracking, this yields the high gas contents, accounting for the high GIP and EUR from Barnett Shale gas wells. However, expulsion from the Barnett Shale has occurred as evidenced by gas production from the overlying Boonsville conglomerate as well as oil fields in the less mature northern and western parts of the Fort Worth Basin. Expulsion is estimated to be about 50– 70% of the total generation potential of the Barnett Shale, but this estimate is highly dependent on the determination of the original generation potential and GIP.

Because there is insufficient hydrogen (53%) in oil to convert it entirely to gas, the retained gas content is reduced from 324 bcf/section (3530 m³/m³) to about 204 bcf/section (2223 m³/m³). Free gas is stored in microporosity created by organic matter decomposition, generation-induced microfractures, and any intergranular pores preserved during deposition. With a C_{Co} of 2.32 wt.%, a net porosity increase of 4.3 to 4.6% results from the conversion of kerogen to hydrocarbons. This provides a considerable part of the known porosity of the Barnett Shale and results in a multiplicity of compartmentalized microreservoirs. Subsequently, to achieve gas flow in Barnett Shale wells, rigorous stimulation is required to rupture these microreservoir compartments.

Gas storage in the Barnett Shale at reservoir PVT conditions demonstrates that at 6% porosity, 70°C



Figure 12. Production decline curve for the MEC 2 T.P. Sims vertical well that has produced 2.025 bcf of gas. The well was restimulated during the 122 months resulting in an increase in gas flow.

(158°F), and 3800 psi (26.2 MPa), a maximum storage of 540 mcf/ac-ft or 159 scf/ton (4.96 cubic meters/ metric ton) is possible.

To locate high flow rate thermogenic Barnett Shale gas in the Fort Worth Basin, it is essential to determine the extent of organic matter conversion using visual and chemical means of maturity assessment combined with numerical-simulation models. Vitrinite reflectance is commonly used to assess thermal maturity, but should be complemented by chemical measurements. It is helpful to complete vitrinite reflectance profiles over the entire wellbore because in marine shales, vitrinite particles are sparse. Chemical assessments of organic matter maturity and conversion such as Rock-Eval T_{max} , HI-derived transformation ratios (TR_{HI}), gas composition, and carbon isotopes are complementary chemical techniques. It is also essential to evaluate residual hydrocarbon products to ensure that no high-molecularweight black-oil components remain in the system. These components are hypothesized to restrict or occlude flow by adsorption as noted by lower gas flow in less mature (more oily) areas. They disappear at different levels of thermal maturity depending on kerogen type. Thus, a

multiparameter visual and chemical assessment of the extent of organic matter conversion should be undertaken to assess shale-gas producibility.

The Barnett Shale has proven to be a commercial thermogenic shale-gas system, but other systems, some with similar geochemical, petrophysical, and mineralogical characteristics have excellent potential for commercial gas production. Other gas systems with quite different types of gas as well as varying geochemical, petrophysical, and mineralogical characteristics also have good potential for commercial gas and long-term production, but may have lower flow rates compared to Barnett Shale wells in the Fort Worth Basin given current completion technologies. The economics of production of gas from each of these systems are dependent on geological, geochemical, petrophysical, and engineering, as well as drilling costs and gas prices. Thus, one shale-gas model cannot be expected to explain all other shale-gas system plays, and each must be studied in its own right with application of appropriate technologies and, sometimes, the enduring patience that was required for commercial development of Barnett Shale in the Fort Worth Basin.

APPENDIX: TERMS AND COMPUTATION OF HI_o, TR_{HI}, AND TOC_o

Terms

- R_o = vitrinite reflectance (in percent)
- TOC = total organic carbon (in wt.%)
- C_C = convertible organic carbon or carbon in free oil or kerogen in a rock (wt.%)
- C_R = residual organic carbon or carbon remaining after pyrolysis (wt.%)
- S_1 = free volatile hydrocarbons thermally flushed from a rock sample at 300°C (nominal) (in mg HC/g rock)
- S_2 = products that crack during standard Rock-Eval pyrolysis temperatures (300–600°C [nominal]) (in mg HC/g rock)
- S_3 = organic carbon dioxide released from rock samples between 300 and 390°C (nominal) (mg CO₂/g rock)
- T_{max} = the temperature at peak evolution of S₂ hydrocarbons (in °C)
- HI (hydrogen index) = remaining potential (S₂) divided by TOC \times 100 (in mg HC/g TOC)
- PI (production index) = free oil content as measured by S_1 only divided by the sum of S_1 plus the remaining generation potential (S_2) or $S_1/(S_1 + S_2)$ (values from 0.00 to 1.00)
- TR_{HI} = transformation or conversion ratio calculated from HI_o and HI_{pd} (see equation below)

Subscripts used with terms:

_o = original _{pd} = present day

Computation of HI_o, TR_{HI}, and TOC_o

 $\rm HI_o$ value can be computed from visual kerogen assessments and assigned kerogen-type $\rm HI_o$ average values using the following equation:

$$HI_{o} = \left(\frac{\% \text{ type I}}{100} \times 750\right) + \left(\frac{\% \text{ type II}}{100} \times 450\right) + \left(\frac{\% \text{ type III}}{100} \times 125\right) + \left(\frac{\% \text{ type IV}}{100} \times 50\right) \ (1)$$

This equation requires input of maceral percentages from visual kerogen assessment of a source rock. For example, using Barnett Shale that is 95% type II and 5% type III, the calculated HI_o value is 434 mg HC/g TOC. At 100% type II, HI_o would be 450 mg HC/g TOC. These values are comparable to those measured on immature to low-thermal-maturity Barnett Shale that ranged from 380 to 475 mg HC/g TOC.

Using the equations of Claypool (Peters et al., 2006), the fractional conversion, i.e., the extent of organic matter conversion, can be determined. The fractional conversion, TR_{HI} , is derived from the change in HI_o to present-day values (HI_{pd}) (Espitalie et al., 1984; Pelet, 1985; Peters et al., 2006), where PI is the production index ($S_1/(S_1+S_2)$) as PI_o = 0.02 to PI_{pd} (Peters et al., 2006):

$$TR_{HI} = 1 - \frac{HI_{pd} \left[1200 - HI_o \left(1 - PI_o \right) \right]}{HI_o \left[1200 - HI_{pd} \left(1 - PI_{pd} \right) \right]} \tag{2}$$

This incorporates the formula of Pelet (1985) for computing kerogen transformation where 1200 is the maximum amount of hydrocarbons that could be formed assuming 83.33% carbon in hydrocarbons. The PI is a ratio of hydrocarbons already formed to the total hydrocarbons (determined from the ratio of S₁ to S₁ + S₂ from Rock-Eval data; Espitalie et al., 1977), but the solution is not overly sensitive to these values.

Once values for HI_o and TR_{HI} are determined by calculation or by measurements from low-maturity samples, TOC_o can be calculated:

$$\text{TOC}_{o} = \frac{\text{HI}_{pd} \left(\frac{\text{TOC}_{pd}}{1+k}\right)(83.33)}{\left[\text{HI}_{o} \left(1 - \text{TR}_{\text{HI}}\right)\left(83.33 - \left(\frac{\text{TOC}_{pl}}{1+k}\right)\right)\right] - \left[\text{HI}_{pd} \left(\frac{\text{TOC}_{pl}}{1+k}\right)\right]} \qquad (3)$$

where 83.33 is the average carbon content in hydrocarbons and k is a correction factor based on residual organic carbon being enriched in carbon over original values at high maturity (Burnham, 1989). For type II kerogen, the increase in residual carbon C_R at high maturity is assigned a value of 15% (whereas for type I, it is 50%, and for type III, it is 0%) (Burnham, 1989). The correction factor, k, is then TR_{HI} × C_R.

REFERENCES CITED

- Adams, C., 2003, Barnett Shale: A significant gas resource in the Fort Worth Basin (abs.): AAPG Southwest Section Meeting, March 1– 4, 2003, Fort Worth, Texas, http://www.searchanddiscovery .com/documents/abstracts/southwest/index.htm.
- Behar, F., and M. Vandenbroucke, 1987, Chemical modelling of kerogens: Organic Geochemistry, v. 11, p. 15–24.
- Bowker, K. A., 2002, Recent development of the Barnett Shale play, Fort Worth Basin (extended abs.): Innovative gas exploration concepts, October 1, 2002, Denver, Colorado, 16 p.
- Bowker, K. A., 2003a, Recent development of the Barnett Shale play, Fort Worth Basin: West Texas Geological Society Bulletin, v. 42, no. 6, p. 1–11.
- Bowker, K. A., 2003b, The Barnett Shale play, Fort Worth Basin (abs.): AAPG Southwest Section Meeting, March 1–4, 2003, Fort Worth, Texas, http://www.searchanddiscovery.com/documents /abstracts/southwest/index.htm.
- Burgess, J. D., 1975, Palynology: Proceedings of the 8th Annual Meeting: American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists, Inc., October 1975, Houston, Texas, v. 1, 7 p.
- Burnham, A. K., 1989, On the validity of the pristane formation index: Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta, v. 53, p. 1693–1697.
- Chaouche, A., 2005, Impact of source rock on basin-centered gas accumulation: Example from Bossier sand shale of Cotton Valley Formation in east Texas (abs.): AAPG Hedberg Conference, April 24–29, 2005, Vail, Colorado, http://www.searchanddiscovery .com/documents/abstracts/2005hedberg_vail/abstracts/extended /chaouche/chaouche.htm.
- Claypool, G. E., 1998, Kerogen conversion in fractured shale petroleum systems: AAPG Bulletin, v. 82, no. 13, Supplement, 5 p.
- Claypool, G. E., and E. A. Mancini, 1990, Geochemistry of petroleum derived from the Jurassic Smackover Formation, southwestern Alabama: Gulf Coast Section, SEPM Foundation 9th Annual Research Conference, 9 p.
- Cooles, G. P., A. S. MacKenzie, and T. M. Quigley, 1986, Calculation of petroleum masses generated and expelled from source rocks, *in* D. Leythaeuser and J. Rullkotter, eds., Advances in Organic Geochemistry 1985: Oxford, Pergamon, Organic Geochemistry, v. 10, p. 235–245.
- Cornford, C., P. Gardner, and C. Burgess, 1998, Geochemical truths in large data sets: I. Geochemical screening data: Organic Geochemistry, v. 29, no. 1–3, p. 519–530.
- Daly, A. R., and J. D. Edman, 1985, Loss of organic carbon from source rocks during thermal maturation: AAPG Bulletin, v. 71, no. 5, p. 546.
- di Primio, R., B. Horsfield, and M. A. Guzman-Vega, 2000, Determining

the temperature of petroleum formation from the kinetic properties of petroleum asphaltenes: Nature, v. 406, p. 173–176.

- EIA (Energy Information Administration), 2006, U.S. Crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids reserves 2005 annual report, Appendix B, 10 p., http://www.eia.doe.gov/oil_gas/natural_gas /data_publications/crude_oil_natural_gas_reserves/cr.html.
- Emme, J. J., and R. W. Stancil, 2002, Anadarko's Bossier gas play– A sleeping giant in a mature basin (abs.): AAPG Annual Meeting Program, v. 11, p. A50.
- EOG Resources, Inc., 2006, Investor presentation: Lehman Brothers Fixed Income Energy Conference, document identification LEH FIEC_0306-1, March 1, 2006, 23 p.
- Espitalie, J., M. Madec, B. Tissot, J. J. Mennig, and P. Leplat, 1977, Source rock characterization method for petroleum exploration: Proceedings of the 9th Offshore Technology Conference, v. 3, paper 2935, p. 439–444.
- Espitalie, J., M. Madec, and B. Tissot, 1984, Geochemical logging, in K. J. Voorhees, ed., Analytical pyrolysis—Techniques and applications: Boston, Butterworth, p. 276–304.
- Flippin, J. W., 1982, The stratigraphy, structure, and economic aspects of the Paleozoic strata in Erath County, north-central Texas, *in C. A. Martin*, ed., Petroleum geology of the Fort Worth Basin and Bend arch area: Dallas Geological Society, p. 129–155.
- Gaarenstroom, L., R. A. J. Tromp, M. C. de Jong, and A. M. Brandenburg, 1993, Overpressures in the central North Sea: Implications for trap integrity and drilling safety, *in* J. R. Parker, ed., Petroleum geology of northwest Europe: Proceedings from the 4th Conference, The Geological Society (London), p. 1305– 1313.
- Gas Research Institute, 1991, Formation evaluation technology for production enhancement: Log, core, and geochemical analyses in Barnett Shale, Mitchell Energy Corp., Thomas P. Sims No. 2, Wise County, Texas: Gas Research Institute Report No. 5086-213-1390, 125 p.
- Givens, N., and H. Zhao, 2004, The Barnett Shale: Not so simple after all (abs.): AAPG Annual Meeting Program, v. 13, p. A52.
- Henk, F., 2005, Lithofacies of the Barnett Shale Formation from outcrop to subsurface (abs.): Barnett Shale Symposium III, Ellison Miles Geotechnology Institute: Texas Petroleum Technology Transfer Council, Texas Region, CD-ROM.
- Henk, F., J. T. Breyer, and D. M. Jarvie, 2000, Lithofacies, petrography, and geochemistry of the Barnett Shale in conventional core and Barnett Shale outcrop geochemistry (abs.), *in* L. Brogdon, ed., Barnett Shale Symposium, Fort Worth, Texas: Oil Information Library of Fort Worth, Texas, p. 7.
- Hickey, J., and F. Henk, 2006, Barnett Shale, Fort Worth Basin: Lithofacies and implications (abs.): AAPG Southwest Section Meeting, Short Course, May 22, 2006, Midland, Texas, http ://www.southwestsection.org/SWSAAPG2006/Convention2 .htm (accessed October 15, 2006).
- Hill, R. J., D. M. Jarvie, J. Zumberge, M. Henry, and R. M. Pollastro, 2007, Oil and gas geochemistry and petroleum systems of the Fort Worth Basin: AAPG Bulletin, v. 91, no. 4, p. 445–473.
- Infinity Energy Resources, Inc., 2006, Energy growth presentation: Howard Weil's 34th Annual Energy Conference, March 19–23, 2006, New Orleans, Louisiana, 21 p., http://www.humble-inc .com/IFNY_Emerging_Resource_Plays_Conference(7.19.06).pdf (accessed November 15, 2006).
- Jarvie, D. M., 1991a, Total organic carbon (TOC) analysis, in R. K. Merrill, ed., Treatise of petroleum geology: Handbook of petroleum geology, source and migration processes and evaluation techniques: AAPG, p. 113–118.
- Jarvie, D. M., 1991b, Factors affecting Rock-Eval derived kinetic parameters: Chemical Geology, v. 93, p. 79–99.
- Jarvie, D. M., 2000, Assessment and prediction of hydrocarbon generation of the Barnett Shale (abs.), *in* L. Brogdon, ed., Bar-

nett Shale Symposium, Fort Worth, Texas: Oil Information Library of Fort Worth, Texas, p. 7.

- Jarvie, D. M., and F. Henk, 2006, Smithwick formation geochemical data: http://www.humble-inc.com/public-data (accessed December 10, 2006).
- Jarvie, D. M., and L. L. Lundell, 1991, Hydrocarbon generation modeling of naturally and artificially matured Barnett Shale, Fort Worth Basin, Texas: Southwest Regional Geochemistry Meeting, September 8–9, 1991, The Woodlands, Texas, 1991, http://www.humble-inc.com/Jarvie_Lundell_1991.pdf (accessed November 15, 2006).
- Jarvie, D. M., and L. L. Lundell, 2001, Amount, type, and kinetics of thermal transformation of organic matter in the Miocene Monterey Formation, *in* C. M. Isaacs and J. Rullkotter, eds., The Monterey Formation: From rocks to molecules: New York, Columbia University Press, chapter 15, p. 268–295.
- Jarvie, D. M., B. L. Claxton, F. Henk, and J. T. Breyer, 2001, Oil and shale gas from the Barnett Shale, Fort Worth Basin, Texas: AAPG Annual Meeting Program, v. 10, p. A100.
- Jarvie, D. M., R. J. Hill, R. M. Pollastro, D. A. Wavrek, K. A. Bowker, B. L. Claxton, and M. H. Tobey, 2003, Evaluation of unconventional natural gas prospects: The Barnett Shale fractured shale gas model (abs.): 21st International Meeting on Organic Geochemistry, September 8–12, 2003, Krakow, Poland, Book of Abstracts, Part II, p. 3–4.
- Jarvie, D. M., R. J. Hill, R. M. Pollastro, D. A. Wavrek, K. A. Bowker, B. L. Claxton, and M. H. Tobey, 2004, Geochemical characterization of thermogenic gas and oil in the Fort Worth Basin, Texas (abs.): AAPG Annual Meeting Program, v. 13, p. A71.
- Jarvie, D. M., R. J. Hill, and R. M. Pollastro, 2005, Assessment of the gas potential and yields from shales: The Barnett Shale model, *in* B. Cardott, ed., Oklahoma Geological Survey Circular 110: Unconventional Gas of the Southern Mid-Continent Symposium, March 9–10, 2005, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, p. 37–50.
- Jarvie, D. M., R. J. Hill, and R. M. Pollastro, 2006, Hydrocarbon generation and storage in the Barnett Shale, Fort Worth Basin, Texas, *in* M. A. Raines, ed., Resource plays in the Permian Basin: Resource to reserves: West Texas Geological Society, CD-ROM, p. 850–963.
- Jarvie, D. M., R. J. Hill, T. E. Ruble, and B. M. Jarvie, in press, Unconventional shale-gas resource systems: Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists, CD-ROM.
- Jones, R. W., 1984, Comparison of carbonate and shale source rocks, in J. Palacas, ed., Petroleum geochemistry and source rock potential of carbonate rocks: AAPG Studies in Geology 18, p. 163–180.
- Langford, F. F., and M.-M. Blanc-Valleron, 1990, Interpreting Rock-Eval pyrolysis data using graphs of pyrolizable hydrocarbons vs. total organic carbon: AAPG Bulletin, v. 74, no. 6, p. 799–804.
- Lindgreen, H., 1987, Experiments on adsorption and molecular sieving and inferences on primary migration in Upper Jurassic claystone source rocks, North Sea: AAPG Bulletin, v. 71, no. 3, p. 308–321.
- Loucks, R. G., and S. C. Ruppel, 2007, Mississippian Barnett Shale: Lithofacies and depositional setting of a deep-water shale-gas succession in the Fort Worth Basin, Texas: AAPG Bulletin, v. 91, no. 4, p. 579–601.
- Magoon, L. B., and W. G. Dow, 1994, The petroleum system, *in* L. B. Magoon and W. G. Dow, eds., The petroleum system— From source to trap: AAPG Memoir 60, p. 3–24.
- Mango, F. B., and D. M. Jarvie, 2006, Catalytic gas in marine shales (abs.): 2006 AAPG International Conference and Exhibition, Perth, Australia, http://www.searchanddiscovery.com/documents /2006/06142perth_abs/index.htm#M.
- Marble, W., 2004, Johnson County— Activity and update (abs.): Barnett Shale Symposium III, Ellison Miles Geotechnology Institute and Texas Petroleum Technology Transfer Council, June 8–9, 2005, p. 10–11.

- Marble, W., 2006, Attributes of a successful unconventional gas project: 8th Annual Unconventional Gas Conference, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, November 15–17, 2006, 39 p.
- Martineau, D. F., 2001, Newark East, Barnett Shale field, Wise and Denton counties, Texas; Barnett Shale frac-gradient variances (abs.): AAPG Southwest Section Meeting, March 1–4, 2003, Fort Worth, Texas, http://www.searchanddiscovery.com /documents/abstracts/southwest/index.htm.
- Martini, A. M., L. M. Walter, T. C. W. Ku, J. M. Budai, J. C. McIntosh, and M. Schoell, 2003, Microbial production and modification of gases in sedimentary basins: A geochemical case study from a Devonian shale gas play, Michigan Basin: AAPG Bulletin, v. 87, no. 8, p. 1355–1375.
- Mavor, M., 2001, Barnett Shale gas-in-place volume including sorbed and free gas volume (abs.): AAPG Southwest Section Meeting, March 1–4, 2003, Fort Worth, Texas, http://www .searchanddiscovery.com/documents/abstracts/southwest/index .htm.
- McAuliffe, C. D., 1980, Oil and gas migration: Chemical and physical constraints, *in* W. H. Roberts and R. J. Cordell, eds., Problems of petroleum migration: AAPG Studies in Geology 10, p. 89–107.
- Montgomery, S. L., 2004, Barnett Shale: A new gas play in the Fort Worth Basin: Petroleum Frontiers, v. 20, no. 1, p. 1–73.
- Montgomery, S. L., D. M. Jarvie, K. A. Bowker, and R. M. Pollastro, 2005, Mississippian Barnett Shale, Fort Worth Basin, northcentral Texas: Gas-shale play with multi–trillion cubic foot potential: AAPG Bulletin, v. 89, no. 2, p. 155–175.
- Mortis, G., 2004, Horizontal wells show promise in Barnett Shale: Oil & Gas Journal, January 19, 2004, p. 58.
- Muscio, G. P. A., and B. Horsfield, 1996, Neoformation of inert carbon during the natural maturation of a marine source rock: Bakken Shale, Williston Basin: Energy and Fuels, v. 10, p. 10–18.
- Oberlin, A., J. L. Boulmier, and M. Villey, 1980, Electron microscopic study of kerogen microtexture. Selected criteria for determining the evolution path and evolution stage of kerogen, *in* B. Durand, ed., Kerogen: Insoluble organic matter from sedimentary rocks: Paris, Editions Technip, p. 191–241.
- Okiongbo, K. R., A. C. Aplin, and S. R. Larter, 2005, Changes in type II kerogen density as a function of maturity: Evidence from the Kimmeridge Clay Formation: Energy Fuels, v. 19, no. 6, p. 2495–2499.
- Pelet, R., 1985, Evaluation quantitative des produits formes lors de l'evolution geochimique de la matiere organique: Revue Institut Français du Petrolé, v. 40, no. 5, p. 551–562.
- Pepper, A. S., 1992, Estimating the petroleum expulsion behavior of source rocks: A novel quantitative approach, *in* W. A. England and A. L. Fleet, eds., Petroleum migration: Geological Society (London) Special Publication 59, p. 9–31.
- Peters, K. E., C. C. Walters, and J. M. Moldowan, 2006, The biomarker guide: v. 1 — Biomarkers and isotopes in the environment and human history: Cambridge, United Kingdom, Cambridge University Press, 471 p.
- Pollastro, R. M., 2003, Geologic and production characteristics utilized in assessing the Barnett Shale continuous (unconven-

tional) gas accumulation, Barnett-Paleozoic total petroleum system, Fort Worth Basin, Texas: Paper distributed at Barnett Shale Symposium I, Ellison Miles Geotechnology Institute at Brookhaven College, Dallas, Texas, November 12–13, 2003, 6 p., http ://www.energyconnect.com/pttc/BSR/BSRpublications.htm.

- Pollastro, R. M., R. J. Hill, T. A. Ahlbrandt, R. R. Charpentier, T. A. Cook, T. R. Klett, M. E. Henry, and C. J. Schenk, 2003, Assessment of undiscovered oil and gas resources of the Bend arch–Fort Worth Basin province of north-central Texas and southwestern Oklahoma, 2003: U.S. Geological Survey National Assessment of Oil and Gas Fact Sheet 2004-3022, March 2004, 2 p.
- Pollastro, R. M., R. J. Hill, D. M. Jarvie, and C. Adams, 2004, Geologic and organic geochemical framework of the Barnett– Paleozoic total petroleum system, Bend arch–Fort Worth Basin, Texas (abs.): AAPG Annual Meeting Program, v. 13, p. A113.
- Pollastro, R. M., D. M. Jarvie, R. J. Hill, and C. W. Adams, 2007, Geologic framework of the Mississippian Barnett Shale, Barnett– Paleozoic total petroleum system, Bend arch–Fort Worth Basin, Texas: AAPG Bulletin, v. 91, no. 4, p. 405–436.
- Sandvik, E. I., W. A. Young, and D. J. Curry, 1992, Expulsion from hydrocarbon sources: The role of organic absorption: Advances in Organic Geochemistry 1991: Organic Geochemistry, v. 19, no. 1–3, p. 77–87.
- Schmoker, J. W., 1995, Method for assessing continuous-type (unconventional) hydrocarbon accumulations, *in* D. L. Gautier, G. L. Dolton, K. I. Takahashi, and K. L. Varnes, eds., 1995 National assessment of United States oil and gas resources– Results, methodology, and supporting data: U.S. Geological Survey Digital Data Series 30, CD-ROM.
- Sheiko, S. S., F. C. Sun, A. Randall, D. Shirvanyants, M. Rubinstein, H-il Lee, and K. Matyjaszewski, 2006, Adsorption-induced scission of carbon-carbon bonds: Nature, v. 440, no. 9, p. 191–194.
- Stainforth, J. G., and J. E. A. Reinders, 1990, Primary migration of hydrocarbons by diffusion through organic matter networks and its effects on oil and gas generation: Advances in Organic Geochemistry, v. 16, p. 61–74.
- Steward, D., in press, The Barnett Shale play phoenix of the Fort Worth Basin: A history: Fort Worth Geological Society and North Texas Geological Survey, The Covington Group, Kansas City, Missouri, 203 p.
- Steward, D., B. Curtis, N. Givens, and N. Steinsberger, 2006, Current state of Barnett Shale technology (abs.): AAPG Annual Meeting Program, v. 15, p. 101.
- Thomas, M. M., and J. A. Clouse, 1990, Primary migration by diffusion through kerogen: I. Model experiments with organic-coated rocks: Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta, v. 54, p. 2775–2779.
- Tissot, B. P., and D. H. Welte, 1984, Petroleum formation and occurrence, 2d ed.: Berlin, Springer Verlag, 699 p.
- Waples, D. W., 2000, The kinetics of in-reservoir oil destruction and gas formation: Constraints from experimental and empirical data, and from thermodynamics: Organic Geochemistry, v. 31, no. 6, p. 553–575.
- Waples, D. W., and R. W. Marzi, 1998, The universality of the relationship between vitrinite reflectance and transformation ratio: Organic Geochemistry, v. 28, no. 6, p. 383–388.